

ORIGINAL ARTICLES  
SPECIAL ISSUE

INFLUENCE OF AN AESTHETICALLY APPEALING PRODUCT  
ON USER'S INTEREST

– INVESTIGATING ON DIFFERENT TYPE OF PRODUCT –

Sang-Hoon JEONG\*, Hyeon-Jeong SUK\*\*, Jae-Hwa LEE\*\* and Seung-Woo MAENG\*\*

\* Mokwon University, Daejeon 302-729, Republic of Korea, diasoul@mokwon.ac.kr

\*\* Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea,  
h.j.suk@kaist.ac.kr, jaehwa.lee@kaist.ac.kr, maengoon@kaist.ac.kr

**Abstract:** Purpose of present paper is to investigate whether aesthetically appealing high involvement products are associated with greater interest (tactual experience, flow and recall of product information) than products are not aesthetically appealing. Another purpose is to observe difference of influence to user's interest (tactual experience, flow and recall of product information) between high involvement product (MP3 player) and low involvement product (Media player). For the experiment, fourteen emotional words were extracted and categorized into 8 aesthetic and 6 usability words. In a preliminary experiment, the subjects freely used three MP3 player and selected emotional words by a 7-point likert scale to distinguish aesthetic and usability and software product value. In the main experiment, it was hypothesized that users have more interest, flow, and recall more information in case of the aesthetically appealing but bad usability product than which has good usability but ugly. Therefore, we measured how much time subjects spent to use the product and asked them to make an assumption regarding the time spent by the group that has the same usability value. We then examined the time they spent and see the gap between the actual and estimated time. We also calculated the amount of menu information recalled via a questionnaire. And also we hypothesized that there are different result of user's interest, flow and recalled information between High Involvement Product (MP3 player) and low involvement product (Media player). In a previous study we observed user interest of low involvement product (Media Player). So at this time, we observed the gap between two groups of product. Results are discussed with significant influence of user interest in case that product is aesthetically appealing. But we didn't find any influence of recalled memory of aesthetically appealing product. And results showed that high involvement type of product (MP3 player) makes more interest to user in flow only.

**Keywords:** *Aesthetic, Usability, Tactual Experience, Flow, Recall Memory, High Involvement Product, Low Involvement*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary Importance of special features and convenience of a product when choosing a product was weakened due to the development of technologies. Because of this, the companies their core competence is design like Apple are become succeed in their business [1]. Numerous studies have attempted to prove the design of product, namely the aesthetics of product influences on the impression, evaluation and satisfaction of itself [2-5]. According to Shim's study, even if product have some difficult to use, the aesthetics perceived as a critical success factor of product [6].

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate whether aesthetically appealing products are associated with greater interest (tactual experience, flow and recall memory of product) than products are not aesthetically appealing. Another purpose is to observe difference of influence to user's interest between hardware product (mp3 player) and software product (media player).

## 2. RELATED WORK

Studies on product aesthetics are mostly focused on definition and measurement of aesthetics [7, 8]. In this paper, we focused on actual users feeling of product and how users evaluate aesthetics. The other part of studies on product aesthetics understands of relation between aesthetics and usability.

Tractinsky (1997) claimed that the usability of ATM is influenced by aesthetic of interface not by usability [9]. Norman (2004) also claimed that attractive things work better. This implies that aesthetically appealing product has also good usability [10]. In addition, according to Ford & Smith (1979)'s study of bank website, users think beautiful website is more comfortable to use than others [11].

Based on these studies, two competing hypotheses can be derived regarding the impact of aesthetic on user interest to product. First, aesthetically appealing product has more users' interest. In this hypothesis, we defined Tactual Experience, Flow and Recall Memory as index of user's interest. A second hypothesis posits hardware type of product has more user interest than software type of product.

Tactual experience is moving with the object, perceiving tactual properties of object, sensing physical sensation, experiencing the affective behavior of the object [12]. And this tactual experience is related to the material, texture, patterns, geometrical aspect and moving parts of the product. So if product is in a good shape or aesthetically appealing, it could provide good feeling or mood when users have tactual experience.

Studies on memory and its relationship to emotion have tended to focus on memory along the pleasant–unpleasant dimension (e.g., sad, happy, traumatic). For example, Christianson and Loftus found a memory advantage for the occurrence of a traumatic situation, compared to a neutral one. Other studies have found evidence of increased memory capability associated with pleasant materials, relative to neutral ones, so we could define recall memory as index of user’s interest [13].

Most psychological models assume that temporal judgments are based on three processing stages. According to the model which has been prominent last twenty years (Church 1984), the first component consists of an oscillatory pacemaker emitting pulses at a mean constant rate. These pulses are gated into an accumulator when a switch is closed, i.e. when the signal duration is being processed. The content of the accumulator provides the raw material for measuring time (clock stage). The outcome from the accumulator corresponding to the current time is transiently stored in a working memory system for comparison with the content of reference memory, which contains a long-term memory representation of the approximate number of pulses accumulated on past trials (memory stage). Finally, a mechanism compares the current duration values with those in working or reference memory to decide on the adequate temporal response (decision stage) In addition, attentional factors have been shown to play an important role in time perception [14]. This model shows if user gives more attention, perceived time is short. So we can define flow (Time perception) as index of user’s interest.

**3. EXPERIMENT**

In our previous research, we surveyed user’s interest of software product (media player: Adrenalin, KM Player) [15]. We found that in case of aesthetically appealing media player showed more flow and recalled memory than which is not aesthetically appealing but good usable media player. But in tactual experience time, no significance difference was founded between two products (Table 1).

Table 1: Media Player’s Tactual Experience Time, Flow and Recalled Memory (N=20, Unit=Sec.)

	<b>Adrenalin</b>	<b>KM Player</b>
	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>
Tactual Experience Time	102.16 (60.05)	117.44 (72.27)
Flow	41.16 (39.09)	22.50 (42.47)
Recalled Memory	5.61 (2.47)	3.11 (2.13)

At this study we focused user’s interest on hardware type of product before comparing with software type.

**3.1 Purpose of Experiment**

The aim of this experiment is to see the user interest gap between aesthetically appealing hardware type product which has bad usability and good usable product which is not aesthetically appealing. And compare the result of hardware and software type product’s user interest (tactual experience, flow, recall memory). We measure tactual experience time, flow time and recalled information of product to elicit user’s interest. In our previous research, we surveyed user’s interest of software product (media player), so at this time we focused user’s interest on hardware type of product before comparing with software type.




Figure 1: Purpose of Experiment

**3.2 Experiment 1 (Selecting MP3 Player)**

Before conducting experiment 2, we choose representative MP3 players according to the following process.



Figure 2: MP3 Players that are tested in the experiment

**3.2.1 Emotional Words and Subjective Evaluation Scale**

The most common way in the psychological measuring method is to indirectly measure the user's emotion by using adjectives. So we use Subjective Evaluation Scale with 8 emotional words to examine product's aesthetic which was suggested by Jeong (2006) [16] (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Subjective Emotional Scale Questionnaires

Also we employ Heuristic Evaluation Method with using Heuristic Evaluation Guideline to evaluate product's usability (Table 2).

Table 2: Evaluation Method investigated in experiment 1

	Aesthetic	Usability
Method	Subjective Evaluation Scale (8 Emotional Words)	Heuristic Evaluation (Guideline Evaluation)
Example of evaluation criteria	pretty polished prestigious clean charming stylish neat supreme	Do the names or icons of menu fit properly with each function?  Does the structure of menus of same depth keep consistency?

**3.2.2 Evaluation of MP3 player**

We evaluate MP3 player's aesthetic and usability scale by employing Subjective Emotional Scale and Heuristic Evaluation Method. Expert Group which is composed of four PhD candidates (department of industrial design, KAIST) are suggested to use three MP3 players and scale the aesthetic and usability value within fifteen minutes. Table 3 shows the result of evaluation.

Table 3: Result of MP3 player's aesthetic and usability (N=4, 5-point likert scale)

	i Pod	i river	i Audio
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Aesthetic	4.37 (0.55)	4.03 (0.73)	2.12(0.90)
Usability	2.90 (1.46)	3.14 (1.31)	3.80(1.05)

**3.2.3 Selection Result**

Finally, we select iPod as aesthetically appealing product which has bad usability and iAudio as a product which has good usability but does not aesthetically appealing. And these two products used as a stimuli in experiment 2.

**3.3 Experiment 2 (Measuring Tactual Experience Time, Flow and Recalled Memory) of MP3 Player**

**3.3.1 Measurement of User's Product Interest**

In this experiment, we measured how much time subjects spent touching and observing the products to assess Tactual Experience Time. And we saw the gap between the actual times that subject spent and estimated time which was asked to subject. This indicates how much subject concentrates on the product (Flow). Lastly, memory was measured using a "recall task". Participants were asked to retrospectively recall product's menu and function name seen during experimental task. We select 20 university students (department of industrial design, KAIST) as participants of this experiment. And they didn't have any experience of use iPod and iAudio.




Figure 4: Environment setting of experiment 2

**3.3.2 Experiment Process**

At the first step, the subject is given two different MP3 players (iPod, iAudio) in turn. Subject is given two tasks (find and play song, play songs of another group) and conducting in fifteen minutes. During experiment, the time that subject touching and observing the products are measured. After experiment subject is asked to make an assumption of time they spent to calculate how much subject flow to the product. At the last, subject asked to recall product's menu and function name seen during experimental task via questionnaire.



Figure 5: Participant conducting tasks in experiment 2

### 3.4 Comparing user’s interest of hardware and software type of product

In order to investigate the different impact on user’s interest (tactual experience, flow, recall memory) according to different product type (hardware and software type), we compared each product type’s tactual experience time, flow time, and recall memory.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Experiment Result

#### 4.1.1 Tactual Experience Time Results

As a result of Tactual Experience Time measure, we could see subjects spend more time in touching and observing in case of iPod than iAudio (Table 4a). And the T-Test statistics indicate that the Tactual Experience Time is significantly different between two MP3 players (Table 4b).

Table 4a: MP3 Player’s Tactual Experience Time (N=20, Unit=Sec.)

	i Pod	i Audio
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Tactual Experience Time	33.85 (20.81)	20.50 (8.00)

Table 4b: T-Test for MP3 Player’s Tactual Experience difference (N=20, p<0.05)

MP3 player	F	Sig.
i Pod i Audio	5.012	0.031

#### 4.1.2 Flow Results

As a result of Flow measure, we examined subjects concentrate more when they are using iPod than iAudio (Table 5a). And the T-Test statistics indicate that the Flow is significantly different between two MP3 players (Table 5b).

Table 5a: MP3 Player’s Flow Time (N=20, Unit=Sec.)

	i Pod	i Audio
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Flow	8.50 (60.84)	-44.85 (58.99)

Table 5b: T-Test for MP3 Player’s Flow difference (N=20, p<0.001)

MP3 player	F	Sig.
i Pod i Audio	16.846	0.000

### 4.1.3 Recalled Memory Results

As a result of Recalled Memory measure, we could find subjects recalled more information in case of iPod than iAudio (Table 6a). But there is no statistically significance in recalled memory (Table 6b).

Table 6a: MP3 Player’s Recalled Memory (N=20, Unit=Number of Words)

	i Pod	i Audio
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Recalled Memory	4.55 (2.25)	2.30 (1.52)

Table 6b: T-Test for MP3 Player’s Recalled Memory (N=20, p>0.05)

MP3 player	F	Sig.
i Pod i Audio	2.747	0.106

### 4.2 Comparison between MP3 Player and Media Player

The results of Mean and Standard Deviation of MP3 Player (iPod, iAudio) and Media Player in terms of tactual experience time, flow and recalled memories are shown in Table 7. And we compare the differences between two product types in Table 8.

Table 7: Comparison between MP3 Player and Media Player in terms of Flow and Recalled Memory

		Tactual Experience Time	Flow	Recalled Memory
		Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
MP3 Player	i Pod	33.85 (20.81)	8.50 (60.84)	4.55 (2.25)
	i Audio	20.50 (8.00)	-44.85 (58.99)	2.30 (1.52)
Media Player	Adrenalin	102.16 (60.05)	41.16 (39.09)	5.61 (2.47)
	KM Player	117.44 (72.27)	22.50 (42.47)	3.11 (2.13)

Table 8: The gap between MP3 Player and Media Player in terms of Tactual Experience Time, Flow and Recalled Memory

	Tactual Experience Time	Flow	Recalled Memory
	Mean	Mean	Mean
The gap between MP3 Player (iPod – iAudio)	13.35	53.35	2.25
The gap between Media Player (Adrenalin – KM Player)	-15.28	18.66	2.50

As indicated in Table 7 and 8, there is significant difference to user's tactual experience time and flow between hardware (MP3 Player) and software (Media Player) product. Hardware type of product has more gaps between aesthetically appealing and good usable product than software type of product (Table 8). But significant difference between hardware and software type of product was not found in case that recalled information of product.

**4.3 Discussion**

Experiment result shows that aesthetically appealing product (MP3 Player) which is not usable makes people to have more tactual experience time and flow than good usability product which is not aesthetically appealing (Experiment 2). And in case of recalled memory, the result only shows the tendency of difference but it did not show statically significance. In comparison between hardware and software type of product, aesthetics of hardware type product has more impact on subject's tactual experience time and flow than aesthetics of software type product. It can be analyzed that subject concentrate or get observed in the product when they have tangible experience such as touching and holding the product because hardware type of product provides those experience to subject.

**5. CONCLUSION**

In the present study, we identified product aesthetics was associated with user's interest partially such as tactual experience and flow. Also we observed that user's interest to product can be differed by product type (hardware and software type of product).

First, we select MP3 players (Experiment 1) and examine the differences between aesthetically appealing product and good usability product (Experiment 2). And compare with different type of product (software type).

According to the hypothesis our results indicate that aesthetically appealing product is associated more to user's tactual experience time and flow than good usable product. Also comparison analysis show that hardware

type of product gives more impact to users on tactual experience time and flow. These results imply that product aesthetic can affect to tactual experience time and flow than good usability product and noticeably increased when it provides rich tangible experience.

**REFERENCES**

1. L.C.Jeffrey; *The Apple Way; 12 Management Lessons from the World's Most Innovative Company*; McGraw-Hill (2007).
2. M.Burmester, A.Platz, U.Rudolph, B.Wild; *Aesthetic design - just an add on?*, HCI International on Human-computer Interaction: Ergonomics and User Interfaces; 1, pp.671-675 (1999).
3. M.T.Pham, J.B.Cohen, J.W.Pracejus, G.D.Hughes; *Affect monitoring and the primacy of feelings in judgment*, Journal of Consumer Research; 28, pp.167-188 (2001).
4. T.Lavie, N.Tractinsky; *Assessing dimensions of perceived visual aesthetics of web sites*, International Journal of Human-Computer Studies; p.60 (2004).
5. B.N.Schenkman, F.U.Jonsson; *Aesthetics and preferences of web pages*, Behavior & Information Technology; 19, pp.367-377 (2000).
6. S.W.Shim; *Mobile Consumer Trends 2007*, Marketing Insight 5th Information-Communication Planning Research (2007).
7. M.Hasenzahl, N.Tractinsky; *User Experience - a research agenda*, Behavior & Information Technology; 25, pp.91-97 (2006).
8. M.Hassenzhal; *The effect of percieved hedonic quality on product appealingness*, International Journal of Human-Cmputer Interaction; 13, pp.479-497 (2002).
9. N.Tractinsky; *Aesthetics and apparent usability: empirically assessing cultural and methodological issues*; ACM, Addison-Wesley, New York, pp.115-122 (1997).
10. D.A.Norman; *Emotional Design-Why we love (or hate) everyday things*; Basic Books, New York (2004).
11. G.T.Ford, R.A.Smith; *Inferential beliefs in consumer evaluations: An assessment of alternative processing strategies*, Journal of Consumer Research; 14, pp.363-371 (1987).
12. H.S.Marieke, S.Hendrik; *The tactual experience of objects*, Product Experience; pp.41-67 (2007).
13. W.Lee, I.Benbasat; *Designing an electronic commerce interface: attention and product memory as elicited by web design*, Electronic Commerce Research and Applications; 2, pp.240-253 (2003).
14. Viviane Pouthas, Severine Perbal; *Time perception depends on accurate clock mechanisms as well as*

- unimpaired attention and memory processes (2007).
15. J.H.Lee, H.J.Suk; The Influence of an Aesthetically Appealing Product on the Using Time, Flow, and Recall Memory, Korean Journal of the Science of Emotion & Sensibility; 11(2), pp.257-270 (2008). (in Korean)
  16. S.H.Jeong, K.P.Lee; MEASUREMENT OF USER'S EMOTIONS (*KANSEI*) EXPRESSED WHILE INTERACTING WITH A PRODUCT - with Emphasis on Development of a Tool for Measuring User's Emotions (*KANSEI*), *Kansei Engineering International*; 6(4), pp.19-29 (2006).



**JEONG, Sang-Hoon**

Sang-Hoon JEONG has obtained his Ph.D. in industrial design from KAIST in Korea and is a professor at the department of industrial design in MOKWON University, Korea. His research interests include user-interface design, emotional design, and product design. He is also a regular member of

Korean Society of Design Science (KSDS), Korean Society for Emotion & Sensibility (KSES), Japan Society of *KANSEI* Engineering (JSKE), and Asia Digital Art and Design Association (ADADA). He has published about 18 papers in international and domestic journals by this time. He has worked for the major companies in Korea like Samsung Electronics and Samsung Heavy Industries for several years and established the design consulting firm, Design Dream Co., Ltd. in 1998. He had consultations with many companies on product design and user-interface design.



**Hyeon-Jeong Suk**

has obtained her Ph.D. in psychology from the University of Mannheim in Germany and is a visiting professor at department of Industrial Design in KAIST. Her research area includes color education, color psychology, and emotional design, and she tries to bridge the two disciplines-industrial design and

psychology- to apply scientific background for design practice. She is a member of the Korea Society of Emotion and Sensibility, Korea Society of Design Science, Korea Society of Color Studies, and Korea Society of Fashion Business. Professionally, she worked as a designer for LG Internet Inc. in Seoul and Steinbeis GmbH in Cologne, and currently conducts design projects for industry.



**Jae-Hwa Lee**

is master course student at department of Industrial Design in KAIST. His research area is emotional design which is about measuring product user's emotion. Also he has much interest the comparison study of usability and aesthetic of product. He is a member of the Korea Society of Emotion and Sensibility and Korea Society of Design Science. He participates and conducts many projects for industry like LG and Samsung as a member of Human-Centered Interaction Design Lab.



**Seungwoo Maeng**

graduated with a Bachelor's Degree in Industrial & Information design(B.S&B.A), and graduated with Master's Degree in Culture-Media Design from Handong Global University, Pohang, Korea. He is currently a PhD candidate in HCIDL[Human Centered Interaction Design Lab] of Industrial

Design, KAIST [the Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology], Deajeon, Korea. His research interest is user's future experience. He is a member of the Korea Society of Emotion and Sensibility and Korea Society of Design Science.